

## DEPARTMENTS

*Letters to the Editor***Motor Imagery for Peripheral Injury**

Stenekes et al<sup>1</sup> have reported an important result - that a motor imagery program during the first 6 weeks after flexor tendon repair limited the impact of hand immobilization on preparation time for finger movements. Robust randomized controlled trials of motor imagery are rare and the authors should be congratulated on a disciplined study that contributes significantly to the literature. That they did not observe an effect on subjective or physical measures of hand function might lead one to presume that motor imagery is not worth doing. However, a growing body of literature demonstrating that motor imagery aids functional recovery after peripheral injury (eg, <sup>2,3</sup>), suggests several reasons to conclude otherwise. Stenekes<sup>1</sup> used motor imagery of a single and simple motor task. Cortical motor processes are functionally organized, which implies that the effect on function will be as specific as the training. Therefore motor imagery training of a single task would seem unlikely to affect the breadth of functional behavior captured in the Michigan Hand Outcome Questionnaire (MHQ). Previous studies of motor imagery in peripheral injury, which have shown clinically important functional gains and cortical organization changes, used a wide variety of mental movements, not just one. Those studies also showed that motor imagery reduces pain and medication use in people with peripheral injury, but Stenekes<sup>1</sup> did not report pain and medication use. The effect should also be enhanced if motor imagery is performed more often. An electronic training diary enhances participation in motor imagery training<sup>4</sup> and functional gains have involved average participation rates of over 70%, rather than the approximately 30% reported by Stenekes.<sup>1</sup> Another measure of central aspects of hand function in which one judges whether a pictured hand is a left hand or a right hand,<sup>5</sup> might have detected important effects. Hand injury and pain are associated with changes in response time and accuracy on left/right hand judgement tasks. Differential response time between pictures of left and right hands is thought to reflect a bias in information processing towards one hand over the other, whereas differential accuracy between pictures of left and right hands implies disruption of cortically held working body schema and integration with motor processes.<sup>6</sup> Both have clear long-term implications for functional recovery, but neither would be detected in the MHQ, the strength assessments or the drawing task used by Stenekes.<sup>1</sup> In summary, the true importance of the clinical trial reported by Stenekes<sup>1</sup> is probably greater than first appears - the available literature on motor imagery for peripheral injury would suggest that a broader motor imagery program might offer clear functional and analgesic gains in addition to positive effects on central aspects of hand function that were reported.

**G. Lorimer Moseley, PhD**  
**Prince of Wales Medical Research Institute and School of**  
**Medical Sciences**  
**University of New South Wales**  
**Sydney, NSW**  
**Australia**

**Chris Barnett, MSc**  
**Department of Physiotherapy**

**Royal Newcastle Centre and The University of Newcastle**  
**Newcastle, NSW**  
**Australia**

**Disclosure:** No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting this article has or will confer a benefit on the authors or on any organization with which the authors are associated.

**References**

1. Stenekes MW, Geertzen JH, Nicolai J-P, De Jong BM, Mulder T. Effects of motor imagery on hand function during immobilization after flexor tendon repair. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90:553-9.
2. MacIver K, Lloyd DM, Kelly S, Roberts N, Nurmikko T. Phantom limb pain, cortical reorganization and the therapeutic effect of mental imagery. *Brain* 2008;131:2181-91.
3. Moseley GL. Graded motor imagery for pathologic pain - a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2006;67:2129-34.
4. Moseley GL. Do training diaries affect and reflect adherence to home programs? *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;55:662-4.
5. Parsons LM. Integrating cognitive psychology, neurology and neuroimaging. *Acta Psychol (Amst)* 2001;107:155-81.
6. Hudson ML, McCormick K, Zalucki N, Moseley GL. Expectation of pain replicates the effect of pain in a hand laterality recognition task: bias in information processing toward the painful side? *Eur J Pain* 2006;10:219-24.

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2009.06.006

**The Authors Respond**

We thank Moseley and Barnett for their thoughtful commentary regarding our article<sup>1</sup> investigating the effects of motor imagery on hand function during immobilization after flexor tendon repair.

Preparation time was affected by motor imagery training in our randomized prospective study. Like Moseley and Barnett, we also expected significant effects of motor imagery on other skill variables. However, we do not think that not finding these effects is inconsistent with the literature they presented.<sup>2,3</sup> These studies are primarily focused on the effect of motor imagery on pain while our study focused on the recovery of motor control. Although it has been demonstrated that pain affects response time,<sup>4</sup> pain is generally not an issue after flexor tendon repair: a typical patient leaves the hospital the same day or the day after surgery and rarely needs pain medication (and recall that our first postoperative measurement was 6 weeks after surgery).

However, there are some factors in our study that may have led to an underestimation of the effects of motor imagery, such as low patient compliance, suboptimal dosage of motor imagery, no case control for injury severity, and small study size. Moseley and Barnett suggest an electronic training diary to improve compliance.<sup>5</sup> This is certainly a good suggestion for visual motor imagery where the imagery sessions can be structured by means of a computer. However, kinaesthetic motor imagery modulates corticomotor excitability and motor output